REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Unclassified

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Annington, VA 2220.						
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank			YPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report (Jan 88 - Nov 90)			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	November 1990		DING NUMBERS			
A Laboratory Study of the Validity	y of the ZCT: An Executive 9		88-P-0002			
A Laboratory Study of the Validity	y of the ZC1. All Executive i	Jummary	0002			
6. AUTHOR(S)						
Barland, Gordon H., Honts, Charl	les R					
Barrand, Cordon II., ITomo, Char	105 10.					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERF	ORMING ORGANIZATION			
Department of Defense Polygraph		REPO	REPORT NUMBER			
Building 3195						
Fort McClellan, AL 36205			DoDPI90-R-0001			
Tott Weetenan, 712 30203						
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	ENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E		NSORING/MONITORING			
Department of Defense Polygraph	Institute	AGE	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER			
Building 3195			DoDPI90-R-0001			
Fort McClellan, AL 36205			DoDPI88-P-0002			
·						
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
		10000	A			
		10090	'41/ 11Δ			
		ISSOU	317 114			
12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY S		10000	•			
Public release, distribution unlimit	tea.		1			
	DTIC OTLAT	TIDYZ YANG				
	DITE WOAL	ITY INSPECTED 2				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word	ls)					
The validity of the Zone Comparis		in an analog study conducted by	the Department of Defense			
Polygraph Institute (DoDPI). Forty						
(PDDs) after having been assigned						
Standard field instrumentation and						
original PDD examiner and three						
rules used by the University of Uta						
70.0% for both the guilty and the innocent subjects, but was 73.7% and 77.8%, respectively, when the inconclusive decisions						
were eliminated from the analyses. The numerical scores of the examiners, having been correlated with each other and with						
the guilt/innocence criterion, ranged from .84 to .90. Three of the four validity coefficients achieved statistical significance. In short, the ZCT format accuracy was lower in this study than in other laboratory studies. A list of possible factors						
contributing to these findings was provided and the author specifically noted that this study accounted for only 18.5% of the						
criterion variance, whereas, other	studies have typically account	2000000000000000000000000000000000000	riance.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES			
Zone Comparison Test format, polygraph accuracy, DoDPI scoring criteria, University of			The state of the s			
scoring criteria, control question			16. PRICE CODE			
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18	8. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	7			

Unclassified

Unclassified

Gordon H. Barland, Ph.D. and Charles R. Honts, Ph.D.

November 1990

Abstract

BARLAND, G. H., and HONTS, C. R. A Laboratory Study of the Validity of the ZCT: An Executive Summary. November 1990, Report No. DoDPI90-R-0001 Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, AL 36205.—The validity of the Zone Comparison Test format was examined in an analog study conducted by the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (DoDPI). Forty subjects were tested by one of six psychophysiological detection of deception examiners (PDDs) after having been assigned to either the innocent group, or the group guilty of committing a mock theft of money. Standard field instrumentation and testing procedures were utilized, and the resulting examinations were evaluated by the original PDD examiner and three other examiners, all of whom were directed to apply either the DoDPI scoring rules or the rules used by the University of Utah. As a group, original examiner accuracy when using the DoDPI scoring rules was 70.0% for both the guilty and the innocent subjects, but was 73.7% and 77.8%, respectively, when the inconclusive decisions were eliminated from the analyses. The numerical scores of the examiners, having been correlated with each other and with the quilt/innocence criterion, ranged from .84 to .90. Three of the four validity coefficients achieved statistical significance. short, the ZCT format accuracy was lower in this study than in other laboratory studies. A list of possible factors contributing to these findings was provided and the author specifically noted that this study accounted for only 18.5% of the criterion variance, whereas, other studies have typically accounted for 60.0% - 70.0% of the variance.

Key words: Zone Comparison Test format, polygraph accuracy, DoDPI scoring criteria, University of Utah scoring criteria, control question test.

Table of Contents

Title Page
Abstracti
Table of Contents iii
Introduction
Method
Results
Discussion

One of the missions of the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (DoDPI) is to conduct research. In this particular experiment we examined the validity of the zone comparison test (ZCT) technique. This technique is one of the most widely used and scientifically studied techniques, and is taught in the DoDPI Polygraph Examiner Basic Course. We examined the validity of the ZCT in an analog study.

Method

The subjects were 29 male and 11 female enlisted trainees at Fort McClellan. The average age of the subjects was 20.6 years. Subjects were randomly assigned to one of two conditions of equal size. One condition was innocent while the other was guilty of committing a mock theft of money.

Polygraph examinations were conducted by six instructors from the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (DoDPI). Each used standard field instrumentation and the techniques taught at the DoDPI. During the ZCT polygraph examinations, subjects were treated as if they were criminal suspects.

Regardless of the test outcome, no interrogation or additional testing was conducted. The charts were numerically scored by the examiner immediately following the test, using the scoring rules taught at DoDPI. The scores for each relevant question were summed across the channels and the three charts. Scores of -3 or lower to any relevant question on a test resulted in a deceptive (DI) outcome. If the test was not deceptive, but any relevant question had a score between +2 to -2 inclusive, the outcome was inconclusive. Only if the scores on all relevant questions were +3 or higher was the test categorized as truthful (NDI). The charts were also independently evaluated by two examiners using the DoDPI scoring rules and one examiner using the University of Utah rules.

Results

The overall accuracy of the original examiners is shown in Table 1. Seventy percent of the classifications of innocent subjects were correct, 10% were inconclusive, and 20% were false positive errors. Seventy percent of the classifications of guilty subjects were correct, 5% were inconclusive, and 25% were false negative errors. Excluding inconclusives, 76% of the decisions were correct. This represents statistically significant, but rather modest classification accuracy.

Table 1
Decisions of the Original Examiners

Condition	NDI	INC	DI	<u>Total</u>
Innocent	14	2	4	20
Guifty	5	1	14	20

Additional analyses were conducted on the numerical scores. The numerical scores of the original examiner, the two DoD evaluators and the University of Utah evaluator were correlated with each other and with the guilt/innocence criterion. The resulting correlation matrix is presented in Table 2. Interrater reliabilities were good. The validity coefficients (correlations with the criterion) are modest, with one of the DoD evaluators failing to achieve statistically significant accuracy.

Table 2
Correlations of Numerical Scores

Original	<u>DoD 1</u> .86***	DoD 2 .79***	<u>Utah</u> .84***	Criterion .43**
DoD 1		.90***	.90***	.34*
DoD 2			.86***	.25
Utah			•	.43**
*p < .05				
**p < .01				
***p < .001				

Discussion

The accuracy of the zone comparison test (ZCT) in this study was lower than that reported in many laboratory ZCT-type control questions tests. Error rates were on the order of 20% and the discriminative power of the numerical scores was modest, accounting for only 18.5% of the criterion variance. This is in contrast to the analog studies at the University of Utah where the numerical scores usually accounted for 60 to 70% of the variance. A number of factors that could possible account for these lower accuracy rates are:

- 1. Support troops may be poor subjects for study.
- 2. There may be important differences between the Institute and other laboratories in the staging of the mock crimes.
- 3. There may be critical differences in the pretest interviews between laboratories.
- 4. There are differences in scoring rules.
- 5. There were no explicit incentives in this study. The control question test may not work well without incentives dependent on the test outcome.

Additional research is needed to determine the causes of these results.